Acting Chairwoman Maloney and honorable members of the House Committee on Oversight and Reform thank you for the opportunity to testify at the Committee’s hearing on “Examining State Efforts to Undermine Access to Reproductive Health Care.” We would also like to take a moment to mourn the passing of Chairman Cummings, a magnanimous and unflappable champion of human and civil rights. As I said in my statement at the time of his passing, we promise to pick up his mantle and continue his fight for universal justice. I am pleased to be here today to honor his memory and continue his fight for justice.

I. Organization and Reproductive Justice Introduction

In Our Own Voice: National Black Women’s Reproductive Justice Agenda is a national/state partnership with eight Black women’s Reproductive Justice organizations: Black Women for Wellness (CA), Black Women’s Health Imperative (National), New Voices for Reproductive Justice (PA,OH) SisterLove, Inc. (GA), SisterReach (TN), SPARK Reproductive Justice NOW! (GA), The Afiya Center (TX), and Women With A Vision (LA). Our partnership was established in 2014, to ensure that the voices of Black women, girls, femmes, transgender and gender non-binary individuals were represented in a coordinated and concentrated effort at the state and national level.

Each of our organizations is rooted in the Reproductive Justice framework which was founded by 12 Black women in 1994 who came together in Chicago, IL for a conference sponsored by the Illinois Pro-Choice Alliance and the Ms. Foundation for Women, where the goal was to create a collective response to the Clinton administration’s proposed plan for universal health care. The conference came just before the International Conference on Population and Development in Cairo, where the decision was reached that the individual right to plan one’s own family must be central to global development. Naming themselves Women of African Descent for Reproductive Justice, the Black women developed the term “Reproductive Justice” because women with low incomes, women of color, LGBTQ+ women, including transgender, nonbinary, and gender non-conforming individuals felt neglected and misrepresented by the women’s right movement, which had primarily focused on abortion rights as solely a white woman’s issue. The term is a combination of reproductive rights and social justice and acknowledges that a pregnant person cannot freely choose what to do with a pregnancy when options are limited by oppressive circumstances or lack of access to services.

Reproductive Justice is the human right to control our bodies, our sexuality, our gender, our work and our reproduction. That right can only be achieved when all frequently marginalized communities have the complete economic, social, and political power and resources to make
healthy decisions about our bodies, our families, and our communities in all areas of our lives. This includes the right to choose if, when, and how to start a family. It is this vision that propels our concern about the increased barriers to abortion access we are seeing across the country today.

Reproductive Justice focuses on a myriad of issues, from economic justice and environmental justice, to voting rights and health equity. As it relates to reproductive health and rights, the Reproductive Justice frame focuses specifically on access rather than rights, asserting that the legal right to abortion is meaningless for pregnant people when they cannot access such care due to the cost, the distance to the nearest provider, child care needs, or other barriers placed on them by way of state legislatures. These are the very issues we are here today to discuss.

II. The History of Abortion Restrictions from the Hyde Amendment Through Today

From Missouri to Ohio, Texas to Louisiana, Georgia to Alabama and expanding rapidly across the country, we are faced with an ever-complicated web of abortion restrictions that continue to compound already existing barriers, making access to quality abortion care a privilege for the few rather than a human right for all. Abortion is an essential part of health care and a basic human right, yet, across the country, abortion rights are under attack.

In this year alone, five states have passed bans on abortion after 6 weeks (Louisiana, Ohio, Georgia, Kentucky, and Mississippi). A ban on abortion after 8 weeks was passed in Missouri, in addition to a ban on abortions based on the sex, race, or Down syndrome diagnosis of a fetus, and the state continues to work to revoke the license to provide abortion care from the only remaining Missouri clinic. Most people do not even know they are pregnant at 6 or 8 weeks. Alabama passed a law that criminalizes abortion at any stage, period. In addition to all of these horrifying and damaging restrictions, states are also passing “trigger laws”, which allow automatic criminalization of abortion in the event Roe v. Wade is overturned, as we’ve recently seen passed in Arkansas, Kentucky, Missouri, and Tennessee. While these bans are egregious and go against an individual’s human right to bodily autonomy, this is not a new calamity.

The History of Hyde and Insurance Coverage Bans

After the striking down of anti-abortion laws in the 1973 landmark Roe v. Wade decision, this Supreme Court victory was immediately undermined and invalidated for low income people in 1976 with the passage of the Hyde Amendment, introduced by Representative Henry Hyde of Illinois. Representative Hyde took up his own personal crusade to ensure that the right to abortion would be a right in name only for low income people. The Hyde amendment and related abortion coverage restrictions have decimated access for millions of Americans for over 40 years. During the amendment’s original introduction, Henry Hyde stated, “I certainly would like to prevent, if I could legally, anybody having an abortion, a rich woman, a middle-class woman, or a poor woman. Unfortunately, the only vehicle available is the… Medicaid bill.”¹ He was clear on his intent then, and it has contributed to a widening gap between low- and middle-income individuals and those with unfettered access for decades upon decades. As Justice Ginsburg said, there will never be a day in this country when a rich woman can’t get an abortion.

Approximately 17 million women of reproductive age in America are enrolled in Medicaid. The Hyde Amendment creates an often insurmountable barrier to abortion for people across the country already struggling to get affordable health care, and disproportionately affects those who are low income, people of color, young, immigrants, or live in rural communities. As the Guttmacher Institute notes, “because of social and economic inequality linked to systemic racism and discrimination, women of color are disproportionately likely to be insured through Medicaid”—therefore subject to the Hyde Amendment’s cruel ban on insurance coverage of abortion. The decision of when and how to have a family and start or grow a family is a decision that should only be made by a pregnant person and those they trust, not politicians.

**Expansion of Insurance Coverage Bans**

Over time, the Hyde Amendment has been expanded across the federal government beyond Medicaid and CHIP to include federal employees, military personnel and veterans, those who receive health care through Indian Health Services, federal prisoners and detainees, Peace Corps volunteers, and low-income residents of the District of Columbia. Additionally, while 17 states have a policy that requires the state to cover abortion for people on Medicaid, almost 60% of women aged 15-44 enrolled in Medicaid and CHIP lived in the remaining 33 states in addition to the District of Columbia that do not cover abortion, except in very limited circumstances.

**Restrictions**

Over the last decade, abortion access in the U.S. has become increasingly fraught with restrictive laws. The Guttmacher Institute reports that between January 1, 2011 and July 1, 2019, states enacted 483 new abortion restrictions, accounting for nearly 40% of the abortion restrictions enacted by states since Roe v. Wade. Such abortion restrictions can include everything from parental notification or consent laws for individuals under 18, the public funding bans described previously, mandated counseling which is often coercive and designed to encourage individuals to carry pregnancies to term, mandated waiting periods before an abortion, and unnecessary and burdensome regulations on clinics and facilities.

**Alabama**:  
- Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 48-hour waiting period.  
- Health plans covered in the exchange under the Affordable Care Act cannot provide coverage of abortion except in cases of life endangerment, rape, or incest.  
- The parent of a minor must consent for an abortion to be provided.

---
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• Patients must undergo an ultrasound before obtaining an abortion and the provider must offer the patient the option to view the image.
• Clinics are required to meet overly burdensome and medically unnecessary requirements

**Georgia:**
• While the law has been temporarily blocked by a federal judge, Georgia has passed legislation that would have prohibited individuals from getting an abortion after six weeks of gestation.
• Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 24-hour waiting period.
• Individuals must wait 24 hours after counseling before they can obtain the procedure.
• The parent of a minor must be notified for an abortion to be provided.

**Louisiana:**
• Bans abortion after six weeks gestation.
• Abortion would be banned if *Roe v. Wade* were overturned.
• 95% of parishes in Louisiana do not have a clinic that provides abortion services.
• Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 24-hour waiting period.
• Individuals must wait 24 hours after counseling before they can obtain the procedure.
• Health plans covered in the exchange under the Affordable Care Act cannot provide coverage of abortion.
• A person must undergo an ultrasound before obtaining an abortion and the provider must show the ultrasound and describe the fetus to the patient, even when the patient has already clearly opted for an abortion.
• An abortion may only be performed after 20 weeks if the person’s life is endangered.
• The parent of a minor must consent for an abortion to be provided.
• There are currently only 3 clinics in the state of Louisiana that provide abortions.
• While the law is not currently under effect due to a pending Supreme Court review, Louisiana has passed legislation that would require abortion providers to have hospital admitting privileges, leaving only one clinic in the state of Louisiana equipped to provide abortion.

**Mississippi:**

---

- While the law has been temporarily blocked by a federal judge, Mississippi has passed legislation that would have prohibited individuals from getting an abortion after six weeks of gestation.
- Abortion would be banned if *Roe v. Wade* were overturned.
- Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 24-hour waiting period.
- Health plans covered in the exchange under the Affordable Care Act only cover abortion in cases of life endangerment.
- The parent of a minor must consent for an abortion to be provided.
- Patients must undergo an ultrasound before obtaining an abortion and the provider must offer the patient the option to view the image.
- Clinics are required to meet overly burdensome and medically unnecessary requirements.

**Missouri:**

- While the law has been temporarily blocked by a federal judge, Missouri has passed legislation that would have prohibited individuals from getting an abortion after as early as eight weeks of gestation, with no exceptions for rape or incest.
- Abortion would be banned if *Roe v. Wade* were overturned.
- Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 72-hour waiting period.
- Individuals must wait 72 hours after counseling before they can obtain the procedure.
- Private insurance policies only cover abortion in cases of life endangerment, unless an optional rider is purchase at an additional cost.
- Health plans covered in the exchange under the Affordable Care Act only cover abortion in cases of life endangerment.
- The parent of a minor must consent for an abortion to be provided.
- The state prohibits abortions performed for the purpose of “race or sex selection.”
- Clinics are required to meet overly burdensome and medically unnecessary requirements and abortion providers are required to have admitting privileges at a local hospital.

**Ohio:**

- While the law has been temporarily blocked by a federal judge, Ohio has passed legislation that would have prohibited individuals from getting an abortion after six weeks of gestation.

---

Patients must receive state-directed counseling that includes information that is designed to dissuade individuals from obtaining their abortion. This counseling must be received in person in advance of a 24-hour waiting period.

Individuals must wait 24 hours after counseling before they can obtain the procedure.

Health plans covered in the exchange under the Affordable Care Act only cover abortion in cases of life endangerment.

The parent of a minor must consent for an abortion to be provided.

Providers must test for a fetal heartbeat; therefore, most patients are required to undergo an ultrasound before obtaining an abortion.

Clinics are required to meet overly burdensome and medically unnecessary requirements.

The choice of the language “web of restrictions” throughout this statement has been intentional. In this small sampling of state abortion restrictions presented here, we see a multitude of barriers to access for all individuals, but barriers that certainly impact individuals living at the intersections of multiple identities (whether that be people of color, low income individuals, LGBTQ+ people, individuals living in rural areas, etc.) even harder. Barriers such as waiting periods require two trips to a clinic, meaning extra time off work, additional childcare needs, and often times, incredibly long trips from across the state or even other states to obtain such care. Many of these restrictions put individuals in impossibly difficult decisions that strip them of any authority they may have over their own lives. Throughout these webs of restrictions are oppressive uses of power and control by state governments to ensure that individuals cannot have bodily autonomy and cannot make the best decisions for themselves, their families and their communities.

**Reason Bans**

Sex-selective abortion bans, like the one in MO, have been passed in 12 states and remain in effect in nine, use false and harmful stereotypes about Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) women to criminalize providers if they perform abortions on the basis of the sex of the fetus. There is no evidence that sex-selective abortions happen in the US. Likewise, race-selective abortion bans which have been passed in four states and remain in effect in two, prohibits abortions on the basis of the race of the fetus, therefore questioning the motives of those seeking abortions, particularly people of color.

The purpose of race- and sex-selective abortion bans has never been to ensure that women of color have agency of our bodies, nor to promote gender and racial equality. Put plainly, race- and sex-selective abortion bans are restrictions on abortion care that target and racially profile people of color. They perpetuate the oppressive narrative that people of color cannot be trusted to make our own reproductive decisions. Though they are promulgated under the guise of

---
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preventing discrimination, their true motive is to advance an anti-abortion agenda and gut the constitutional right to abortion at the expense of marginalized communities.

III. Restrictions Impact on Health Access

The web of restrictions and bans highlighted here have ultimately created a landscape in which justice and equity are inaccessible. These bans are compounded by other efforts at the state and federal levels to limit access to abortion care and family planning services, such as the final Title X rule, known as the Domestic Gag Rule, which prohibits Title X-funded providers from referring patients for abortion care, state efforts to prohibit Planned Parenthood from receiving reimbursement under state Medicaid programs, and various strategies to limit who can provide abortion care and other reproductive health care services.  

A recent study by UC San Francisco’s Bixby Center for Global Reproductive Health has shown that women who are denied an abortion and then give birth report worse health outcomes up to five years later as compared to women who receive a desired abortion. Not only that, but as the country grapples with the maternal mortality crisis we face, one that disproportionately impacts Black women in particular, research has found that the states with higher numbers of abortion restrictions are the exact same states that have poorer maternal health outcomes.

While it has been widely shown that abortion in the United States is an extremely safe procedure, abortion restrictions themselves continue to put individual’s health and well-being at risk regularly. When facilities are closed down or restricted in the services they provide, when people must travel further distances to obtain services, research shows that people report multiple barriers to obtaining safe health care, including increased travel time, longer waits, and greater costs. Additionally, when a person has no option but to obtain an abortion later in pregnancy or carry an unwanted pregnancy to term, these outcomes cause more danger to their health than the abortion itself.

Abortion restrictions can often also put a person’s physical and emotional safety at risk. Decreased access to abortion care may lead a person to maintain unplanned or unwanted pregnancies keeping them in contact with violent or abusive partners. For example, 7 percent of women in the 2012 Turnaway study reported an incident of domestic violence in the last six months, compared to 3 percent of the women who obtained an abortion. Although leaving an abusive relationship is never easy, women who accessed an abortion were able to leave while those who were forced to carry an unwanted pregnancy to term helped to keep the abusive partner in the women’s life. This can often lead to lack of safety for entire families or communities.

---

Lastly, to put a fine point on something that we have alluded to heavily throughout this testimony, Reproductive Justice is Economic Justice. Low income people have significantly higher rates of unintended pregnancy, leading to higher rates of unintended births. A majority of those who obtain abortions have incomes below 200 percent of the federal poverty line. A top reason that people choose to have abortions is because of the significant expense of having and raising a child. The long term effects of the abortion restrictions and bans discussed here are drastic as it relates to the economic security and stability of people who can become pregnant, particularly people of color and LGBTQ+ individuals. The Turnaway Study notes that those who were denied their abortion were four times more likely to be below the federal poverty line four years after being denied. For states and governments to control the economic security of families, as well as individual’s bodily autonomy, with such blatant disregard will continue to have drastic impacts on already marginalized communities for decades to come. This is not only unjust, it is unethical.

IV. Criminalization of Abortion
This President has said he would criminalize doctors and people who seek abortions. Unfortunately, this isn’t just rhetoric but the reality for some who seek to manage their abortion on their own terms. Some state legislatures have passed laws that would criminalize doctors who perform abortions but were either blocked by the courts or vetoed by the governor. We know that women of color, low income communities, and transgender and gender non binary people, and those living at the intersections of these identities, are most affected by the criminalization of pregnancy and abortion. Whether it is criminalizing women like Purvi Patel in Indiana for miscarriages or sending pregnant people to jail for substance use during pregnancy or making it impossible for people to end their pregnancies at home surrounded by those they trust - our bodies have become fodder for political gain.

V. Federal Solutions to Abortion Bans
The abortion bans we see across the country are both a state and federal problem, and we are pleased to be able to recommend a handful of federal solutions to ensure meaningful access to abortion care and over all access to care, particularly for marginalized populations.

The EACH Woman Act
The EACH Woman Act (H.R. 1692, S. 758) makes a meaningful change for those seeking abortion care by creating two important standards for reproductive rights. First, the bill respects that each of us should be able to make our own decisions about pregnancy. If someone gets their care or insurance through the federal government, they will be covered for all pregnancy-related care, including abortion. Second, the EACH Woman Act prohibits political interference

with decisions of private health insurance companies to offer coverage for abortion care. The EACH Woman Act is a Reproductive Justice vision and affirms that we are fighting for a future where our families can thrive regardless of how much money we make or where we live.

**The Women’s Health Protection Act**

The Women’s Health Protection Act (H.R. 2975, S. 1645) would assure our right to access abortion free from medically unnecessary restrictions and bans such as those addressed in the hearing today. This includes pre-viability bans, bans that force doctors to provide medically inaccurate information to pregnant people, reason bans, and other restrictions that attempt to delay a pregnant person from receiving care such as waiting periods and ultrasounds that are not medically informed. A pregnant person’s access to abortion care should not depend on where they live; the Women’s Health Protection Act improves access to abortion care by prohibiting political interference and unnecessary abortion restrictions.

The EACH Woman Act and Women’s Health Protection Act must both be passed in order for abortion access to become a reality: the removal of abortion bans means little to a pregnant person who still cannot afford an abortion because their health insurance doesn’t cover it, and likewise, the ability to pay for an abortion via health insurance does not shrink the distance between a pregnant person’s home and the nearest abortion clinic 200 miles away.

In a nationwide poll among Black, Latinx, and Asian American and Pacific Islander women surveyed in early 2019, 84% of women of color voters agree that candidates should support women making their own decisions about their reproductive health. Moreover, over 60% of women of color voters noted that they would be watching their elected officials in Congress more closely than in previous elections. As women of color become a more powerful voting bloc, Congress must take legislative action protecting abortion rights and access and can expect to be held accountable for their efforts—or lack thereof—to do so.

**Holistic Approach and Inclusion of the Reproductive Justice Framework in all Policymaking**

It is critical that any legislation passed to protect access to abortion includes a holistic approach to people’s lives. A Reproductive Justice framework acknowledges that a pregnant person cannot even get in the door of a health center to receive abortion care if they do not have the transportation, child care, necessary immigration documents and the time off from work needed to access services. Additionally, as we have discussed here today, the numerous restrictions on abortion coverage, medically unnecessary waiting periods, and arbitrary gestational limits on pregnancy termination make many of the barriers insurmountable and therefore abortion care inaccessible.

From the Hyde Amendment to reason bans to refusals of care based on personal or religious beliefs, abortion restrictions disproportionately affect those who have been traditionally excluded from conversations on reproductive health and rights: women of color, LGBTQ people, young people, people with disabilities, immigrants, just to name a few. Those living with intersecting marginalized identities cannot afford to endure another abortion ban or attempts to take away

---
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affordable contraception because they are already battling discrimination in health clinics, wages too low to put food on the table, the debilitating costs of child care, attacks on their rights simply based on their immigration status or how long they've been in this country, and threats to our basic voting rights. For people of color, economic justice is reproductive justice. Immigration justice is reproductive justice. Voting rights and civil rights is reproductive justice. You cannot separate and silo these issues from each other: any attack on abortion rights is an attack on our ability to live with full agency over our lives and not just if and when we choose to grow our families but how we parent our children with economic stability and dignity.

As such, achieving reproductive justice does not mean just the elimination of abortion restrictions or bans on coverage so that abortions are affordable—it must also include achieving equal pay, especially for Black women who are making 61 cents for every dollar the white, non-Hispanic male makes, Latina women who make 54 cents, particular subgroups of AAPI women who make as low as 60 cents, and Native women who make 57 cents to the white male dollar. It must include the ending of mass incarceration and immigration detention that is separating families, addressing maternal mortality and racial health disparities that black women face, ensuring clean water and communities free from harmful chemicals and pollution to raise families in, and implementing workplace policies like paid parental leave. The issues facing women of color and that bring them to the polls differ from that of white women, and nationwide polling shows that over three in four women of color need elected officials to recognize that the issues they face are intersectional.

I thank the committee for raising the red flag on the decades long issue that is this web of abortion restrictions and bans currently in existence. I also appreciate this committee’s dedication to addressing these issues through a lens of justice and equity and centering the valued lived experiences of marginalized communities, including Black, Latinx, AAPI and Native women, transgender, and gender non-binary people, LGBTQ+ people, low income individuals, people in rural communities, disabled individuals, youth, and immigrants. I explicitly name them all because all of our struggles are tied together and many of us live at the margins of multiple oppressed identities. I urge the House Oversight and Reform Committee to address these abortion restrictions with urgency and fervor, as that is what all of our communities deserve as we collectively work towards bodily autonomy and a world where full Reproductive Justice can be actualized. In Our Own Voice: National Black Women’s Reproductive Justice Agenda stands ready to work with the committee to make this vision a reality.

---

Appendices from In Our Own Voice State Partners

A. Abortion Access in California (Black Women for Wellness)

Although California has pretty good laws on the books for access and protections to abortion, the actual real lived experience to abortion care still has some ways to go.

Abortion Providers
California is home to over 40 million residents, almost 1 out of every 9 Americans. However, 40% of California Counties do not have an abortion provider which is home to about 3% of CA women. To put that in perspective, that is about the equivalent of no abortion providers for the entire population of Washington D.C. In addition, people who live in central CA, the far east side of the State and far north (between Modec and Solano County) have to travel hours to get to a clinic that provides abortion services.

Title X
The new regulations have had a dramatic impact on the number of clinics that are still in the program within the state. Well over 1 in 4 clinics in California have dropped out of being a Title X provider, for a total of 126 clinics. In addition, 1.7 million dollars got awarded to the Obria Group, a fake clinic/crisis pregnancy center network that has facilities throughout southern California.

Only 3 New Grantees Joined Title X in 2019, Falling Far Short of Replacing Providers That Are Exiting the Program

Crisis Pregnancy Centers
They are over 170 Crisis Pregnancy Centers in the state of California.

Self Managed Abortion/Criminalization
Currently, there are no laws that protect pregnant people for self-managing their own abortion. In addition, just last week a 25-year-old woman was charged with first-degree murder in Hanford, CA for giving birth to a stillborn after drugs were found in her system.

**Intersectional issues**
California has a record number of homelessness in the State. Many families are getting pushed out of big cities because of unaffordable housing. Black folks are disproportionately represented in the homeless population. In Los Angeles black folks are 47% of the homeless population and 9% of the general population.

We do not have any protections for job discrimination of pregnant women.

https://www.bwwla.org

**B. Georgia Organizations Stand with Groups Challenging the Six-Week Abortion Ban (SisterLove Press Statement, July 11, 2019)**

*Members of the Georgia Reproductive Health, Rights, and Justice Coalition Support Georgia-Based Advocacy Organizations and Healthcare Providers in their Recently Filed Lawsuit, SisterSong v. Brian Kemp*

On Friday, June 28th, SisterSong, Feminist Women’s Health Center, Planned Parenthood Southeast, the American Civil Liberties Union of Georgia and others filed a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of HB481 - Governor Kemp’s recent anti-abortion legislation. Challengers are planning to file a motion to block the bill from going into effect while litigation continues.

The undersigned members of the Georgia Reproductive Health, Rights, and Justice Coalition support our partners for their courage and persistence in continuing the fight for reproductive justice and freedom. By serving as named plaintiffs, SisterSong, Feminist Women’s Health Center, and Planned Parenthood Southeast are ensuring that the rights and interests of those most affected - particularly low-income women, women of color, and queer and trans communities - are centered in this work and the ACLU of Georgia is continuing to support our advocacy work by bringing the fight to the courts.

HB481, signed last month, would effectively ban all abortion procedures in Georgia after six weeks, before most people even know they are pregnant. This new law is set to go into effect in January 2020 and, until then, legal abortion services will continue to be available in Georgia up until 22 weeks from a person’s last period.

We acknowledge that we do not live single issue lives, which means that we are constantly meeting at the intersection of various issues that influence why people in our communities make the decisions that are best for themselves and their families. With that, it would be remiss not to note that restrictions like HB481 disproportionately affect the health and autonomy of communities like ours – those who are Black, Indigenous, and people of color; LGBTQI folks; immigrants; and those striving to make ends meet.
We stand in solidarity with the seven out of ten Georgia voters who support the legal right to abortion and who oppose attacks on abortion access and reproductive justice. At a time when states are criminalizing people - like Marsha Jones in Alabama - for pregnancy loss and when trans women - particularly black and indigenous trans women of color - are experiencing extreme rates of murder and violence we must continue fighting against all assaults on our bodily autonomy and reproductive freedom.

Abortion is healthcare and healthcare is a human right, full stop. All Georgians deserve the right to make their own decisions regarding their reproductive health, families, and lives. We are optimistic that the United States District Court will follow the lead of other courts - including the Supreme Court - and block or strike down this unjust restriction on the basic human right to bodily autonomy.

Access Reproductive Care (ARC)-Southeast
NARAL Pro-Choice Georgia
National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum (NAPAWF), Georgia Chapter
SisterLove, Inc.
SPARK Reproductive Justice Now!, Inc.
URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity


In considering GA’s extremely inferior and detrimental health crisis, especially amongst Black women and Queer, Trans and nonbinary folks, SPARK is dedicated to pursuing proactive ways of advancing our healthcare systems, practices and outcomes. Unfortunately, our legislators have decided to play politics with Georgians, and we are now here to discuss how the government has inserted itself in our personal and private life decisions by enforcing an abortion ban. To be clear, this abortion ban is a ban on health care.

It is forced pregnancy bill that denies a person their right to self-determination and bodily autonomy. This is a critical public health issue and if this ban is put in place, it will become an injurious public health crisis for this state. We cannot afford this! Georgia already has the worst maternal health outcomes and maternal morbidity AND mortality rates in our nation, comparable to the maternal health outcomes of underdeveloped countries.

Georgians deserve policies and laws that eliminate systemic and structural barriers to adequate reproductive and comprehensive care and provide universal and meaningful access to quality healthcare.

Put simply, when people lack access to safe abortion services, they die. Period. History, our current stories, and public health research/data proves that. Complications of unsafe abortions are among the leading causes of maternal illness and death. Lack of access — and now restriction of access — will not result in people not having abortions. This will only result in an increased amount of unsafe abortions. This is a critical reproductive justice and public health issue specifically for Black, brown, indigenous, Trans and
Queer folks who already have severe limitations to access to affordable and affirming reproductive and sexual health services and healthcare coverage.

SPARK and our partners will continue to do the work of ending white supremacy, patriarchy and attacks on bodily autonomy. Please do not be discouraged, stay engaged and stay vigilant! We will continue to work with our legislative champions, as well as, community leaders to put forth a proactive Reproductive Justice agenda that aims to save lives and uplift our shared liberation.

For more information on SPARK and how to stay civically engaged contact:

Dr. Krystal Redman
Executive Director
SPARK Reproductive Justice Now!, Inc
info@sparkrj.org
www.sparkrj.org

D. SisterReach Exposes Religious Hypocrisy in Tennessee and Brings Faith Values and Intersections into the Fight Against Reproductive Oppression.

Cherisse Scott, SisterReach CEO and founder
Senate Judiciary Committee Testimony – Summer 2019 Study Hearing on SB 1236

Hello, and thank you for having me today. My name is Cherisse Scott, and I’m the founder and CEO of SisterReach. I am also a woman of faith, a mother and a servant to God’s people. For almost 8 years, our non-profit grassroots organization has been working to support the sacred self-determination and the reproductive autonomy of women and teens of color, poor and rural women, LGBT+ people, and their families through the framework of Reproductive Justice. The framework was created to center the lived experiences of Black women around issues of reproductive and sexual health including the universal human right to dignity, self-determination, and autonomy. Reproductive justice seeks to liberate and emancipate vulnerable populations from all forms of reproductive and sexual oppression and challenges us to expand our analysis beyond abortion to be inclusive of the myriad other issues that preclude women and people who give birth from achieving reproductive and sexual autonomy.

That said, I am not here to testify about abortion access in a vacuum, because doing so dishonors the lived experiences of vulnerable people who give birth in our state, the social and economic disparities which inform their daily choices of all kinds, including their reproductive health choices, and most importantly, the outright and intentional abandonment by the Tennessee state legislature of these vulnerable people who happen to comprise all races, classes, religions and socio-economic statuses. I am here to testify on behalf of all of them – the thousands of vulnerable people in Tennessee who would be hurt by Senate Bill 1236 – as it, for many, is the final straw in a political pattern of vile, racist, un-American and un-Christian legislation that has come from this body of leadership.
The state of Tennessee’s reproductive health disparities lay at your feet, not ours. Your consistent and intentional objection of withholding life-saving, sexual behavior changing, comprehensive reproductive and sexual health education from youth and college students by mandating abstinence-based education is why our statistics rival national averages. Your intentional and uncompromising objection to expanding Medicaid in a state where more than half of its people have dire health disparities is irresponsible. And three years after you have gotten the leadership in the White House you desired; you still have not put forth a plan of action to ensure healthcare that would save our lives. You have denied us the opportunity to live, and then claim to be pro-life. You have cherry-picked the parts of this state that would expand its behavioral and mental health services, and then race to put sick people who struggle with substance use disorder in prison and take away their children all while claiming to care about babies and families.

You have stood in your righteous indignation and watched undocumented families be destroyed by ICE in this state; you have dismantled their families in the name of democracy, you have ambiguously offered their women birth control methods to hinder them from having more children, all without acknowledging the historical colonialist actions of many of your own ancestors on their native land. Instead, you have continued their own traditions of harm with no apology and no attempt at a harmonious conclusion. You have denied hardworking families the opportunity at a living wage, yet hold them responsible through legislation that punitively disciplines them for their rage, or their inability to be present to raise their children. No one can raise children properly when they have to work 3 jobs to provide a 40-hour work week of pay. You have targeted people because of their sexual orientation with vitriol and hate.

Your political track record shows no mercy or compassion for them or their lives and judgment is not yours to hold over their souls, yet you have stepped out of your mortal positions and have wedged your fear, hatred, and misinterpretation of biblical text over their lives and families as if YOU are God and as if YOU have a heaven or hell to place them in. You have denied us comprehensive education reform, oversaturated our boards of education with mandates for better testing scores, disempowered and underpaid our teachers, supported the privatization of our schools with no check and balances to ensure quality education, then are angered when you see 20-year olds on the welfare system.

You weren’t concerned with whether or not they could read or count, only if they could test well to shore up your education benefit funding. Many of them are under-employable because they never received fundamental education to navigate the workforce yet you expect them to understand their sexual and reproductive health?! Meanwhile, the only environment you’ve created among these instances is one that would lead Tennesseans to need abortions, under-care for or neglect their children, regardless to whether or not they want to parent.

Which brings us to where we are today – in a state full of people who, without God’s help and mercy, have nothing to look forward to but being a permanent underclass. Yet somehow, you expect them to be assets to our society and not liabilities and shame them when they cannot achieve the unachievable bar you have set with your harmful policymaking.
And if all of this was not heartbreaking enough, you wield your political power in Jesus’ name. Many of you who claim to be conservative and Christian have weaponized the word of God to forward your political agendas and maintain power and control over the most vulnerable Tennesseans. You have manipulated biblical scripture to align with your colonialist supremacist ideologies, instead of showing mercy and using the power of your political parties to liberate each of us – which is and was the actual ministry of Christ. However, my bible teaches me that Jesus came that we might have life, and have that life more abundantly.

Who is the Tennessee state legislature to stand between me and my opportunity at abundant living or my sacred ability to be self-determining? And what makes this legislature so prideful and arrogant that you’ve wedged your own will between that of my own and God’s? What makes you believe God has endowed your sinful flesh with that authority? Are any of us holier than God? Last I checked, all of us who believe, and that includes people who have abortions, are to work out our own soul salvation. Even God honored the self-determination and bodily autonomy of women and mothers throughout scripture. Did not God allow in I Kings 3:16-27, the potential killing of a baby to train a leader on how to wield justice and to soothe a grieving mother, who also happened to be a prostitute, the value of honoring another woman’s ability to parent even though they both were the outcasts of society? Did he not place King Solomon in a position to administrate justice to the prostituting mothers? Even the one who had already killed her baby? King Solomon’s job, much like this legislature, was to offer justice to these women, not make or mandate their decisions for them. He could have offered death to that mother for killing her newborn baby, mistake or not. And yet we see King Solomon do what I’ve not seen this legislature do – honor the mother’s humanity, honor the women’s self-determination by allowing them to make the decision on killing the remaining newborn child. This was a God-ordained intervention. Maybe you did not prepare for this to be a bible study, but clearly, we need one.

We all know there is no scripture that uses abortion terminology, yet the text demonstrates countless opportunities where God condoned the death of vulnerable babies to chastise disobedience, correct behavior, heal broken hearts or even usher in liberation. What more was the death of King David & Bathsheba’s baby, if it were not a God-ordained abortion to chastise David’s sinful and gluttonous behavior with Bathsheba? [2 Samuel 12:1-24]. We have politicized the abortion debate long enough on the back of our risen Savior whose own vulnerable life was aborted so that we might have access to God and eternal life. The definition of Abortion is the termination of life, whether it be a fetus or a living and breathing human being.

I sit here before you a living testimony of what an empowered and educated individual looks like when given the tools to make comprehensive choices about my life and reproductive health. I’ve had 3 abortions in my lifetime, and the only thing that changed my sexual behavior was the compassion of an individual who showed me my ignorance, challenged me to change my sexual behavior through sex education and walked me through that process until I got it good enough that I could teach others about their bodies. That was the compassionate action of one individual. What more could this legislature do for a state full of people who do not understand their sexual and
reproductive health? My bible teaches me in Hosea 4:6 that we die due to a lack of knowledge. The heartbreak is that TN abortion rates could be much lower if this legislative body would not stand as a barrier to the very education I received. Instead, Tennessee has taken shortcuts by pushing long acting reversible contraception on vulnerable women and girls whether they be citizens, undocumented or incarcerated. Yet, our rates of STIs, like chlamydia, gonorrhea and HIV almost triple the national average among youth and adults. Instead, Tennessee has chosen eugenics over healthcare access which includes comprehensive sexuality education and all methods of birth control.

Your political actions have exacerbated these issues and you must take responsibility for it now. Allow me to call you in and offer you an opportunity to repent for the ways you have perverted your role as politicians. The politician is not God and John 13:16 states that “no servant is greater than its master, nor its messenger greater than the one that sent him.” Your constituents are the people who made your jobs possible so as Philippians 2:3&4 states “do nothing out of selfish ambition or vein conceit. Rather in humility value others above yourselves, not looking to your own interests, but each of you to the interest of others.” You have a mandate to do no harm to your neighbor and offer them every opportunity at an abundant life.

And make no mistake- I am a firm believer that people ought to take responsibility for their actions, but you cannot expect anyone to take responsibility for something they don’t know, don’t have access to, are not stakeholders in, or don’t trust you enough to believe you care about their lives. Black women in particular will be harmed and criminalized by this law though our sexual behavior has never been corrected since slavery. We were brought to this country to breed and labor. We continue that pattern of birthing our children to this day. And history has already shown us what Black mothers will do if we do not have access to safe and legal abortion, birth control methods, healthcare or a society that supports us. It is irresponsible of this legislature to push vulnerable women and girls back into unsafe abortions. Your job, like King Solomon’s, is to create a just society where all can flourish and not make decisions out of trauma, lack, poverty, or fear.

A wise preacher named Rev. E. Dewey Smith once said “you cannot antagonize and evangelize at the same time.” If you want the state of abortions to decrease, it will take a concerted effort from this legislature working with, not against, medical providers like Planned Parenthood and the myriad of advocates like SisterReach who have been on the frontline trying to correct the public health wrongs of this legislative body. Isaiah 10:1 & 2 (NIV) states “whoa to those who make unjust laws, to those who issue oppressive decrees to deprive the poor of their rights and withhold justice from the oppressed of my people.” You have an opportunity to set a new precedent by righting the wrong of SB1236 and to model for this country what justice looks like for the most vulnerable.

I urge you to vote against this dangerous bill and work with us to change the culture of sexual behavior in our state together. Thank you for your time.

Cherisse A. Scott  
CEO & Founder
E. Afiya Center Speaks Out Against Reproductive Oppression in Texas

With the decision to end a pregnancy so hotly debated, The Afiya Center saw a need for a different conversation about the topic of abortion itself. Abortions are medical procedures that take about 10 minutes to complete, once you are jumped through the hoops Texas puts in your way. The hoops, and why they exist, are why we have to engage our community in conversation. In Texas, the restrictions on abortions make them more difficult to access. The lack of discussion leads to rules and restrictions that make peoples lives worse, not better. When you think about abortion, how do you feel? The effects of abortion segregation does the following: contributes to patient harassment, interferes with continuity of care, and perpetuates abortion stigma. In Texas, if you are more than 20 weeks pregnant, you can no longer legally have an abortion in Texas, unless you have severe health problems or there is a fetal abnormality. Using a Reproductive Justice framework, we are able to meet the clients where they are centering the entire lived experiences of Black folk in their reproductive years.

Earlier this year, many cities in East Texas were obliged to create ordinances to outlaw abortion in their cities. The first such city was Waskom. As one of the cities named in the Ordinance, it is important that we make sure that all Texans, especially those in East Texas, know that abortion is still available. Our concern as a Black woman led Reproductive Justice organization is that we have been labeled a criminal entity and could be limited in our ability to access resources to do our work or serve Black folks from this area as a result. This is a form of reproductive oppression and should not be tolerated.

https://www.theafiyacenter.org/